Optimization of biogas production by co-digestion of organic waste (cow dung and water hyacinth)
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Abstract. The objective of this work is to determine the co-digestion ratio of water hyacinth and cow dung for the optimization of biogas production at Sô Ava, a lake city of Southern Benin. To achieve these ratios, we suppose that the water hyacinth has a high gas yield and cow dung ensures stability in the biodigester because it brings fresh bacteria and has a strong buffering capacity (maintenance of a stable pH). For 45 days, we have introduced a mixture of water hyacinth and cow dung in 5 mini-biodigesters of 10 liters each: digester n°1 (100% of cow dung); digester n°2 (100% of the water hyacinth); digester n°3 (50% of the water hyacinth and 50% of the cow dung); digester n°4 (75% of cow dung and 25% of water hyacinth); digester n°5 (75% of the water hyacinth and 25% of the cow dung). The measurements of the pH, temperature and the proportion of gas (CH4, CO2, O2 and H2S) in the mini-biodigesters was done. The measurements show that the digester n°5 produces the highest capacity of 15.24L of biogas with 70% of methane while the digester n°2 has the lowest capacity 5.47L of biogas with 58% methane. These results show that the yield of biogas produced is greater when using the mixture of the substrate with the ratio of 75% of water hyacinth and 25% of cow dung. This result encourages the energy recovery from water hyacinth, once considered as a seasonal plague which hinders navigation of local boat in the lake.
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Introduction

Anaerobic digestion is the biochemical process of producing biogas by transforming complex organic materials into a clean, renewable source of energy. The co-digestion process is a reliable alternative process which is used to solve the environmental drawbacks of the substrate management. The use of co-substrates generally improves biogas yields due to the positive synergies established during the digestion process and the supply of missing nutrients by the co-substrates [1].

Several studies have been carried out on the production of biogas using different biomasses as mono-substrates [2],[3]. However, the direct use of substrates is difficult due to their nutritional imbalance, which usually lack of microorganisms and the effect of operational factors. The process of co-digestion has been recommended to overcome these difficulties [4]. Anaerobic co-digestion has been widely used to improve biogas production. A number of published articles have investigated co-digestion in recent years. Astals et al [5] studied the co-digestion of livestock manure with other different biomasses to improve biogas production rates [6] reported that the combination of whey and poultry manure was found to be able to...
maintain the correct C/N (Carbon to nitrogen ratio) ratio in the reactor. According to Murto et al (2004) [7], a highly buffered system was obtained by co-digestion of solid wastes, manure, fruit and vegetable waste. The process worked well with a gas yields reaching 0.8 m$^3$kg$^{-1}$ of dry matter. Somayaji et al [8] conducted a study with digesters fed with cow manure and varying proportions of wheat straw and concluded that the highest specific methane yields were observed with 40% straw of wheat total solids in the raw material. Ghaly et al [9] studied a 155 L two-stage, two phases unmixed anaerobic reactor for treating whey with dairy manure and concluded that the pH should be controlled at the methanogenic phase; otherwise the production of biogas was not possible. The anaerobic co-digestion of grass silage, sugar beet tops and oat straw with cow manure was evaluated by Lehtomaki et al [10] in continuously stirred tank reactors. In the laboratory supplied semi-continuously.

Gelegenis et al [11] reviewed a series of laboratory experiments in continuously stirred tank reactors under mesophilic conditions, semi-continuously filled with various mixtures of diluted poultry manure and whey. Co-digestion of whey with manure has been shown to be possible up to a 50% participation of whey (by volume) in the daily feed mixture without any addition of chemicals. Anaerobic co-digestion of sludge from grease traps and sewage sludge has been successfully performed in both laboratory batch trials and pilot scale continuous digestion trials [12]. The possible use of the potato tuber and its industrial by-products (potato tubs and potato skins) for farm-scale co-digestion with pork manure was examined in laboratory by Kaparaju and Rintala [13]. The results showed that the potato tuber and its industrial by-products can be co-digested with pig manure at a loading rate of 2 kg VS m$^{-3}$ day$^{-1}$ in continuously stirred tank reactors at 35 °C. The proportion of waste in the mixture appears to be large and the feed may contain at least 15-20% potato waste. Zupancic et al [14] carried out a large-scale experiment on the co-digestion of organic waste from household waste (swill) with municipal sludge. The results showed that anaerobic digestion is the solution to the handling of organic waste (swill) and above all it is very beneficial with little negative impact on the environment. An 80% increase in the amount of biogas was also observed. The potential of semi-continuous mesophilic anaerobic digestion for the treatment of solid waste, fruit-vegetable waste and manure in a co-digestion process has been experimentally evaluated and presented by Alvarez et al [15]. They found that a combined treatment of different types of waste such as manure (cattle and pigs), solid waste from slaughterhouses (rumen, rumen and blood of cattle and pigs) in a mesophilic co-direction process gives the possibility of treating waste, which cannot be treated separately.

The feasibility of anaerobic co-digester of mixed industrial sludge with municipal solid waste was investigated in three simulated anaerobic landfill bioreactors over a period of 150 days [16]. They concluded that the anaerobic co-digestion of industrial sludge with organic wastes is a feasible process in waste stabilization and in the treatment of leachate releases from simulated anaerobic reactors. Gomez et al [17] presented the results obtained for the digestion of primary sludge and the co-digestion of this sludge with the fruit and vegetable fraction of municipal solid waste under mesophilic conditions. The co-digestion of the fruit and vegetable fraction of municipal solid waste with the primary sludge produced more biogas than the digestion of the primary sludge, due to the higher concentration of volatile solids contained in this feed. The feasibility of anaerobic co-digestion of five coffee wastes from the production of coffee and sewage sludge was assessed by Neves et al [18]. Methane yields of between 0.24 and 0.28 m$^3$ / kg of VS were obtained with the exception of a barley-rich waste which only reached 0.02 m$^3$ of CH$_4$ / kg of VS. Fezzani et al [19] studied for the first time the thermophilic anaerobic (55°C) co-digestion of oil mill wastewater with solid waste from oil mills in semi-continuous tubular digesters at laboratory scale. They concluded that wastewater from oil mills could be successfully degraded by co-digestion with solid waste from oil mills under thermophilic conditions without prior dilution and without the addition of nitrogenous chemicals. The co-digestion of onion juice and aerobic wastewater sludge produced by an onion processor using an anaerobic mixed biofilm reactor was investigated by Romano et al [20] for the potential for biogas energy production and waste treatment.
Several articles have exposed the co-digestion of certain substrates, that of cow dung and water hyacinth is lacking to our knowledge. This is why the present work focuses on optimizing the production of biogas by co-digestion of organic waste (cow dung and water hyacinth).

**Work hypothesis:**
Co-digestion with a high percentage of water hyacinth in cow dung optimizes biogas production.

**Material and methods**

**Material**

**Substrate**
The substrate is made up of finely cut water hyacinth (grain size around 5cm), collected in the Nokoué Lake and cow dung collected in Agassa-Godomey. It was introduced into each mini-digester 6kg of the water hyacinth - cow dung mixture. Figure 1 and Figure 2 present the chopped water and cow dung used during the experiments.

![Figure N°1: Chopped water hyacinth](image1)

![Figure N°2: Cow dung in pretreatment](image2)

**Experimental device**

*The digester*
The five digesters are hermetically closed to ensure total anaerobiosis.
During the unfolding of the anaerobic digestion process, the air chamber collects the produced biogas.

* Biogas volume measurement device
The volume of biogas is measured every day using the displaced liquid method [21]
Figure N°3: Methanization device

Figure N°4: Biogas volume measuring system [21]

* The gas analyzer

Figure N°5: Gas analyzer; IRCD4 model
Methods

We introduced into 5 cans with a capacity of 25 liters each, the mixtures of water hyacinth and cow dung in different proportions:

- digester n° 1 (100% cow dung only);
- digester no. 2 (100% of the water hyacinth without inoculum);
- digester n° 3 (50% of the water hyacinth and 50% of the cow dung);
- digester n° 4 (75% of cow dung and 25% of water hyacinth);
- digester no.5 (75% of the water hyacinth and 25% of the cow dung).

These mixed remained for 45 days in the digesters.

The percentage of the 4 gases (CH₄, CO₂, O₂, H₂S) is measured daily from the 3rd day after putting the mixtures in the digester by molecular absorption spectrophotometry according to the protocols accompanying the equipment of the IRCD4 brand Biogas Analyzer Manual Instruction.

Results and analysis

pH variation

![Figure N°6: pH variation in the 5 digesters](image)

The figure 6 presents the change in pH during the experimental process. The pH values decrease from 5 to 7.5 during the first fifteen days of measurement in all the digesters it is the hydrolysis and acidogenesis phase. From the 16th day, the pH values begin to increase to reach a maximum value of around 7.5 on the 45th day for the majority of the digesters (acetogenesis and methanogenesis phase).

Adjusting the pH to around 7 promotes the development of methanogenic bacteria which are responsible for the formation of methane.
Temperature variation

The figure 7 shows the evolution of temperature during anaerobic digestion in the five digesters. From the measurement period, the temperature fluctuated around 30°C (25°C à 35°C). Digestion is then carried out under mesophilic conditions which is one of the main conditions for optimizing anaerobic digestion.

**Yield of CH₄, CO₂, O₂, H₂S in the biogas**

The percentage of the different gases is read directly on the screen of the biogas analyzer. The Figure below illustrate the change in the level of CH₄, CO₂, O₂, H₂S in the biogas produced.
Figure N°8: Variation in the rate of CH₄, CO₂, O₂ and H₂S in the biogas produced in digesters N°1
Figure N°9: Variation in the rate of CH₄, CO₂, O₂ and H₂S in the biogas produced in digesters
Figure N°10: Variation in the rate of CH₄, CO₂, O₂ and H₂S in the biogas produced in digesters N°3

Figure N°11: Variation in the rate of CH₄, CO₂, O₂ and H₂S in the biogas produced in digesters N°4
In the first 10 days, the methane and carbon dioxide levels increased in all the five digesters showing the production of these gases. But, the O₂ level gradually decrease because of the anaerobic reactions in the biodigester. After the 10th day, the oxygen level fluctuated around 0.1% throughout the experiment. The level of H₂S has gradually decreased in all cases until it approaches 0 except in digester N°1 (Figure 8) where the cow dung is 100%. In the digester N1, after the 10th day, the CH₄ level increased before stabilizing around an average value of 60%. In digester N°2 (100% water hyacinth) we also notice a gradual increase in the CH₄ level till an average of 58%. In digester 3 (50% of the water hyacinth and 50% of the cow dung) the average level of CH₄ is 59%. Methane level also increased in Digester 4 (75% cow dung and 25% water hyacinth) and Digester 5 (75% water hyacinth and 25% cow dung). The average methane levels found are 60% and 70% respectively. We also notice that the concentration of CH₄ and CO₂ seems to mirror each other in any case as if the sum of the levels of these two gases were constant.

Variation in the volume of biogas produced

![Figure N°13: Variation of the volume of biogas produced in each digester](image)

Figure N°13 represents the change in the volume of biogas produced as a function of time. During the first ten days, the volume of biogas produced remains low and non-flammable (hydrolysis and acidogenesis phase). After the tenth day, the volume begins to increase variably for each digester. The best results are recorded at the level of digester 5 composed of 75% water hyacinth and 25% cow dung, with a maximum value of 1010 mL on the 20th day; while the low values are recorded at the level of digester 2 composed of 100% water hyacinth, the highest volume is 266 mL on the 27th day. Microorganisms have a hard time appearing in Digester 2 (100% water hyacinth) because there is no inoculum. The microorganisms promoting anaerobic digestion took a long time to appear in the environment. During this time, the other digesters produced biogas. This explains the yield
obtained at the level of digester No. 2. In digesters containing hyacinth, biogas was produced over a long period because the microorganisms had a large amount of organic matter. In general, plant materials have a high gas yield because of the carbon inside it, as well as food waste rich in fats and proteins. Animal droppings have a lower energy power, but ensures stability in the culture medium because they provide fresh bacteria and have a strong buffering capacity (maintaining a stable pH). The results we have obtained show that in the case of semi-continuous digestion, substrate should be added already from the 15th day.

**Total flammable volume produced by each digester**

![Figure 14: Volume of biogas produced in each digester](image)

Figure N°14 shows that the digester 5 has a higher value than the remains of the digesters (15249 mL), while the digester 2 gave the lowest value of the volume of biogas (5153 mL). Digesters 2 and 3 have a similar gas production (9585 mL and 10189 mL).

**Conclusion**

The present study has shown that the biogas yield is greater for a substrate consisting of 75% water hyacinth and 25% cow dung with a rate of 70% methane, whereas for a substrate consisting of 100% of water hyacinth, biogas production is low with 58% methane. The initial hypothesis: Co-digestion with a high percentage of water hyacinth in cow dung optimizes biogas production is thus verified. On the other hand, we have found that in the case of semi-continuous digestion, substrate should be added already from the 15th day. The co-digestion of water hyacinth has been shown to be effective. It could no longer be considered as a constraint but as wealth. In the rest of our work, we will size the digester to estimate the amount of energy that could be produced using anaerobic digestion. We will thus estimate the number of households that could be supplied with energy, for example.
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